

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/881,019	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KANURI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ian N. Moore	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ian N. Moore.

(2) Brian Ledell.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 April 2006

**Time:** 1:41 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*USC 102 (b),(e)*

**Claims discussed:**

*1 and 8*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Dobbins, Siddiqui*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Regarding appeal brief argument for claims 1 and 8, examiner disagrees with the applicant; but for claim 13, examiner agrees with the applicant.

Thus, examiner suggests applicant to include allowable subject matter of claim 13 into rejected claims 1 and 8 if he wishes to make this application in condition for allowance.

The applicant agrees. The applicant proposed and agreed amendment are set forth in examiner amendment.